

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/853,880	RIGGINS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher H. Yaen	1643	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Rejection(s) discussed:
112, 1st paragraph (enablement)

Claims discussed:
13,16,18-22

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Indicated that case would be in more favorable condition for allowance if applicant filed a declaration with the Kuan et al manuscript. Indicated that because the manuscript was not signed or sworn behind, that there was no indication that the manuscript was actually evidence capable of overcoming 112, 1st paragraph rejection..